

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/727,814	11/30/2000	Bruce Hennig	A-69397/SFC/SMF	4332	
7590 12/02/2003			EXAMINER		
Steven F. Caserza			LEJA, RONALD W		
FLEHR HOHE ALBRITTON	BACH TEST & HERBERT LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,				KIP				
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany		7,814	HENNIG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		d W Leja	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not imminication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply are ly will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty nd will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>30 January 2</u>	<u>2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.				erits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,17,18,22-24,26-35 a</u>	<i>nd 37-40</i> is/are reje	cted.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16, 19-21, 25 and 36</u> i	Claim(s) <u>14-16, 19-21, 25 and 36</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or electio	n requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.							
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February	<u>/ 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) o	bjected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is red	quired if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have t y documents have t s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT f	peen received. peen received in Ap uments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	ge				
* See the attached detailed Office acti 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was includ 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign lates.	for domestic priority ed in the first senter anguage provisional	y under 35 U.S.C. § nce of the specifica l application has be	3 119(e) (to a provisional app tion or in an Application Data en received.	a Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first se								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Diction of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/727,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-13, 17, 18, 22-24, 26-35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmacht (6,424,512).

Schmacht discloses (see Fig. 2) a reactivation circuit coupled with a system having a protection mechanism (M1) which prevents runaway current comprising a monitoring circuit (M, A) coupled with at least the system and the storage element (capacitance C3 for Claims 3,17,30) and the monitoring circuit configured to monitor the voltage across the storage element and to signal the system when the voltage across the storage element exceeds a predefined threshold. The system

Art Unit: 2836

becomes reactivated when the output voltage across the storage element (C3), which is in parallel with the load, reaches the predefined threshold voltage (see Col. 3, line 50 through Col. 4, line 22). The first transistor is considered to be (M1) and the second transistor is considered to be (M2); the toggling of the first transistor is considered to affect the toggling of the second transistor (for Claim When the protection mechanism (M1) gets turned OFF due to excessive current draw through the load (a short-circuit condition), the second transistor (M2) gets turned ON and the Reference discloses that the current flow is through the second transistor and through resistors (R5) and (R6) and apparently sunk at the output of the OP-Amp (OP1). The load is not being supplied, however, Col. 4 of the Reference indicates that when the load resistance increases to the extent that the capacitor (C3) is loaded more than being discharged, the voltage across the capacitor rises, which leads to reactivation of the system via current flow to the load via the first transistor (M1). Therefore, even though the Reference is somewhat vague, it is the opinion of the Examiner that (M2) comprises part of the charging circuit since when (M1) is OFF and (M2) is ON, if the resistance of the load increases, due to loss of the short-circuit condition, the voltage across the capacitor increases to allow for re-activation if a predefined voltage level is reached. Thus, for proper desired operation, it would have been obvious to allow for the possibility (dependent upon load condition) of the capacitor (C3) to be charged so as to allow for the re-activation of the circuit, and thus, even with

Application/Control Number: 09/727,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

the protection mechanism (M1) being shut OFF, it would have been obvious to allow some type of current flow to capacitor (C3), which is in parallel with the load.

- 3. Claims 14-16, 19-21, 25 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter: The claims 14-16, 19-21, 25 and 36 contain limitations drawn to the use of a latch, use of a second monitoring circuit and use of system temperature dependence. There does not appear to be strong motivation to modify the Reference to incorporate such features, and as such, these added limitations are considered to be novel and unobvious.
- 5. The Prior Art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. Gentry et al. (4,634,936); this Reference is drawn to current limiting current flow through the load (10) via a first transistor (46), due to a detected short-circuit condition. A storage element (72) coupled to the load gets charged and when a predefined threshold is reached, transistor (46) gets completely turned OFF and latched OFF by (56).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W Leja

Application/Control Number: 09/727,814 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

whose telephone number is (703) 308-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ronald W Leja / Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl
Saturday, November 29, 2003